

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,548	07/24/2001		John H. Jerman	A-68185/ENB	7991
7:	590	12/12/2002			
DORSEY & V			EXAMINER		
FOUR EMBAI SUITE 3400	KCADER	J CENTER		LE, DANG D	
San Francisco,	CA 9411	1-4187			
,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2834

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/911,548	JERMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dang D Le	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ate, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  INTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u>	<u> October 2002</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	This action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 31-62 and 66-90 is/are pending in t	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>31-56 and 66-90</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>57-62</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	= : :					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)  approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis</li> </ol>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/911,548

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 57-62 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 57, 58, 61 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Allen.

Regarding claim 57, Allen shows an electrostatic microactuator (Figure 1) comprising a substantially planar substrate (26), a rotatable member (18) overlying the substrate for rotation about an axis of rotation extending perpendicular to the substrate, first and second linear micromotors (12, left and right) for imparting substantially linear motion and a first coupler (16, left) for securing the first linear micromotor to the rotatable member and a second coupler (16, right) for securing the second micromotor to the rotatable member so as to utilize the substantially linear motion of the first and second micromotors for rotating the rotatable member about the axis of rotation.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,548

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claim 58, it is noted that Allen also shows the axis of rotation extending through the rotatable member.

Regarding claim 61, it is noted that Allen also shows the first and second micromotors being symmetrically disposed about the rotatable member.

Regarding claim 62, it is noted that Allen also shows the direction of linear travel of the first micromotor being parallel to the direction of linear travel of the second micromotor.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 59 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allen in view of Lee et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,548

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claim 59, Allen shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for each of the micromotors being an electrostatic micromotor having at least one comb drive assembly.

Lee et al. show each of the micromotors being an electrostatic micromotor having at least one comb drive assembly (Figure 4) for the purpose of improving effective stiffness.

Since Allen and Lee et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make each of the micromotors as an electrostatic micromotor having at least one comb drive assembly as taught by Lee et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 60, it is noted that Lee et al. also show the first and second couplers comprising first and second coupling springs (48).

# Information on How to Contact USPTO

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-0156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Art Unit: 2834

Page 5

872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

DDL December 11, 2002

R

Ding & L